

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	B	Overall
Program Progress:				PJs in State:	8		
% of Funds Committed	<div>98.49</div> %	<div>97.33</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>97.21</div> %	<div>58</div>	<div>56</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>92.66</div> %	<div>91.20</div> %	<div>5</div>	<div>90.53</div> %	<div>54</div>	<div>55</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>1.03</div>	<div>1.51</div>	<div>4</div>	<div>4.97</div>	<div>12</div>	<div>15</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>86.25</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>85.01</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>78.86</div> %	<div>79.41</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>73.71</div> %	<div>54</div>	<div>53</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>85.05</div> %	<div>63.63</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>81.48</div> %	<div>53</div>	<div>52</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>62.28</div> %	<div>43.52</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>45.54</div> %	<div>82</div>	<div>0</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>94.31</div> %	<div>70.87</div> %	<div>6</div>	<div>96.14</div> %	<div>21</div>	<div>24</div>	
Overall Ranking:				In State:	<div>1</div> / <div>8</div>	Nationally:	<div>51</div> <div></div>
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$14,045</div>	<div>\$15,152</div>		<div>\$28,248</div>	<div>281</div> Units	<div>29.30</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$21,780</div>	<div>\$12,894</div>		<div>\$15,487</div>	<div>233</div> Units	<div>24.30</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$30,744</div>	<div>\$25,465</div>		<div>\$0</div>	<div>445</div> Units	<div>46.40</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$10,488</div>		<div>\$3,211</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Knoxville TN

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$25,460	\$90,282	\$40,595
State:*	\$40,469	\$74,227	\$33,297
National:**	\$101,183	\$78,322	\$24,013

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 3.6 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.75

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	38.1	40.8	56.0	0.0	Single/Non-Elderly:	36.6	30.9	22.2	0.0
Black/African American:	59.6	55.4	43.4	0.0	Elderly:	6.8	6.0	31.7	0.0
Asian:	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	49.1	45.1	17.8	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	4.9	12.9	15.7	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	2.6	4.3	6.5	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	38.9	33.0	36.4	0.0	Section 8:	46.8	4.7 [#]		
2 Persons:	30.2	24.5	26.1	0.0	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	18.5	21.0	18.0	0.0	Other:	0.8			
4 Persons:	10.2	14.2	9.2	0.0	No Assistance:	52.5			
5 Persons:	1.9	6.4	7.2	0.0					
6 Persons:	0.4	0.4	2.0	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001			10	

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Knoxville State: TN Group Rank: 51
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 1 / 8 PJs Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 79.77%	100	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 57.73%	78.86	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	85.05	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 92.23%	94.31	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.200	1.28	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

